

Report of the auditor-general to the Northern Cape Provincial Legislature and the council on Ubuntu Local Municipality

Report on the audit of the financial statements

Qualified opinion

1. I have audited the financial statements of the Ubuntu Local Municipality set out on pages xx to xx, which comprise the statement of financial position as at 30 June 2023, statement of financial performance, statement of changes in net assets, cash flow statement and statement of comparison of budget information with actual information for the year then ended, as well as notes to the financial statements, including a summary of significant accounting policies.
2. In my opinion, except for the effects and possible effects of the matters described in the basis for qualified opinion section of this auditor's report, the financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Ubuntu Local Municipality as at 30 June 2023, and its financial performance and cash flows for the year then ended in accordance with the Standards of Generally Recognised Accounting Practise (GRAP) and the requirements of the Municipal Finance Management Act 56 of 2003 (MFMA) and the Division of Revenue Act 5 of 2022 (Dora).

Basis for qualified opinion

Statutory receivables from non-exchange transactions

3. I was unable to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence regarding VAT receivable included in statutory receivables from non-exchange transactions, as the underlying records were not accurate and complete and an adequate system of internal control. I was unable to confirm VAT receivables from non-exchange transactions by alternative means. Consequently, I was unable to determine whether any adjustment was necessary to VAT receivable from non-exchange stated at R10 613 071 in note 5 to the financial statements. In addition, the municipality did not recognise receivables from non-exchange transactions in accordance with GRAP 108, *Statutory Receivables*. Properties were identified for which availability charges were not billed. Consequently, receivables from non-exchange transactions and availability charges was understated by R1 297 426 by the same amount.

Revenue from exchange transactions

4. During 2022, the municipality did not recognise services charges, as required by GRAP 9, *Revenue from exchange transactions*. Consumers were not accurately billed due to incorrect meter readings taken and incorrect tariffs being used for billing. Consequently, service charges is understated by R12 664 437 and receivables from exchange transactions is understated by R12 839 606 and prior year VAT receivable understated by R175 169. My audit opinion on the financial statements for the period ended 30 June 2022 was modified accordingly. My opinion

on the current year financial statements was also modified because of the possible effect of this matter on the comparability of the service charges for the current period.

Debt impairment

5. During 2022, the municipality did not account for allowance for impairment of receivables from exchange transactions in accordance with GRAP 104: *Financial Instruments*. Debt impairment was incorrectly calculated, resulting in an overstatement of debt impairment by R5 171 878, allowance for impairment of receivables from exchange transactions by R4 504 588 and allowance for impairment of receivables from non-exchange transactions by R667 290. My audit opinion on the financial statements for the period ended 30 June 2022 was modified accordingly. My opinion on the current year financial statements was also modified because of the possible effect of this matter on the comparability of the debt impairment for the current period.

Payables from exchange transactions

6. During 2022, the municipality did not recognise all items of direct deposits not receipted in accordance with GRAP 1, *Presentation of Financial Statements*. Deposits received were not allocated to the respective debtors' accounts. Consequently, direct deposits not receipted was overstated and the receivables from exchange transactions overstated by R1 905 523 in the financial statements. My audit opinion on the financial statements for the period ended 30 June 2022 was modified accordingly. My opinion on the current year financial statements was also modified because of the possible effect of this matter on the comparability of the payables from exchange transactions for the current period.

General expenses

7. I was unable to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence for expenditure included in general expenses, as the municipality was not able to provide supporting documentation due the status of the accounting records. I was unable to confirm this expenditure included in general expenses by alternative means. Consequently, I was unable to determine whether any adjustments were necessary to the inventory, spare and materials consumed stated at R7 750 919 and consulting and professional fees stated at R8 422 134 included in general expenses in note 32 to the financial statements. In addition, the municipality did not account for expenditure included in general expenditure in accordance with GRAP 1: *Preparation of Financial Statements*. The municipality did not record all general expenses in the correct accounting period. I identified transactions which occurred during the previous year that were recorded in the current year, resulting in consulting and professional fees for the current year being overstated by R826 642 and the comparative figure being understated by the same amount.

Unauthorised expenditure

8. I was unable to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence for unauthorised expenditure as the underlying records were not accurate and complete. I could not confirm the amounts by alternative means. Consequently, I was unable to determine whether any adjustment was necessary to unauthorised expenditure stated at R465 003 025 (2022: R440 261 947), in note 40 to the financial statements.

Fruitless and wasteful expenditure

9. I was unable to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence for fruitless and wasteful expenditure as the underlying records were not accurate and complete. I could not confirm the amounts by alternative means. Consequently, I was unable to determine whether any adjustment was necessary to fruitless and wasteful expenditure stated at R41 494 041 (2022: R36 381 796) in note 41 to the financial statements.

Irregular expenditure

10. I was unable to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence for irregular expenditure as the underlying records were not accurate and complete. I could not confirm the amounts by alternative means. Consequently, I was unable to determine whether any adjustment was necessary to irregular expenditure stated at R93 307 155 (2022: R103 958 580) in note 42 to the financial statements. In addition, the municipality did not include all irregular expenditure in the notes to the financial statements, as required by section 125(2)(d)(i) of the MFMA. The municipality incurred expenditure in contravention of the supply chain management (SCM) requirements which was not disclosed, resulting in irregular expenditure being understated in both the current and previous year. I was unable to determine the full extent of this irregular expenditure as it was impractical to do so.

Additional MFMA disclosure

11. During 2022, I was unable to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence that amount paid, included in pension and medical aid deductions had been properly accounted for, due to the state of the accounting records. I was unable to confirm the amount paid - current year included in pension and medical aid deductions by alternative means. Consequently, I was unable to determine whether any adjustment was necessary to amount paid - current year included in pension and medical aid deductions stated at R10 495 588 in note 45 to the financial statements. My audit opinion on the financial statements for the period ended 30 June 2022 was modified accordingly. My opinion on the current year financial statements was also modified because of the possible effect of this matter on the comparability of the amounts disclosed for the current period.

Distribution losses

12. I was unable to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence for distribution losses for electricity as the municipality did not implement adequate systems to reliably calculate these losses. I could not confirm the electricity losses by alternative means. Consequently, I was unable to determine whether any further adjustment was necessary to current year material losses/gain for electricity disclosed in note 45 to the financial statements.

Context for opinion

13. I conducted my audit in accordance with the International Standards on Auditing (ISAs). My responsibilities under those standards are further described in the responsibilities of the auditor-general for the audit of the financial statements section of my report.

14. I am independent of the municipality in accordance with the International Ethics Standards Board for Accountants' *International code of ethics for professional accountants (including International Independence Standards)* (IESBA code) as well as other ethical requirements that are relevant to my audit in South Africa. I have fulfilled my other ethical responsibilities in accordance with these requirements and the IESBA code.
15. I believe that the audit evidence I have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for my qualified opinion.

Material uncertainty relating to going concern

16. I draw attention to the matter below. My opinion is not modified in respect of this matter.
17. I draw attention to note 43 to the financial statements, which indicates that the municipality's average debtors' payment days increased; and, as of that date the municipality's current liabilities exceeded its current assets by R99 300 721. As stated in note 43, these events or conditions, along with other matters as set forth in note 43, indicate that a material uncertainty exists that may cast significant doubt on the municipality's ability to continue as a going concern.

Emphasis of matters

18. I draw attention to the matter below. My opinion is not modified in respect of this matters.

Restatement of corresponding figures

19. As disclosed in note 48 to the financial statements, the corresponding figures for 30 June 2022 have been restated as a result of an errors in the financial statements of the Municipality, and for the year ended, 30 June 2023.

Other matter

20. I draw attention to the matter below. My opinion is not modified in respect of this matter.

Unaudited disclosure notes

21. In terms of section 125(2)(e) of the MFMA, the particulars of non-compliance with the MFMA should be disclosed in the financial statements. This disclosure requirement did not form part of the audit of the financial statements and, accordingly, I do not express an opinion on it.

Responsibilities of the accounting officer for the financial statements

22. The accounting officer is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in accordance with the Standards of GRAP and the requirements of the MFMA and the Dora; and for such internal control as the accounting officer determines is necessary to enable the preparation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

23. In preparing the financial statements, the accounting officer is responsible for assessing the municipality's ability to continue as a going concern; disclosing, as applicable, matters relating to going concern; and using the going concern basis of accounting unless the appropriate governance structure either intends to liquidate the municipality or to cease operations, or has no realistic alternative but to do so.

Responsibilities of the auditor-general for the audit of the financial statements

24. My objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error; and to issue an auditor's report that includes my opinion. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with the ISAs will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. Misstatements can arise from fraud or error and are considered material if, individually or in aggregate, they could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of these financial statements.

25. A further description of my responsibilities for the audit of the financial statements is included in the annexure to this auditor's report.

Report on the audit of the annual performance report

26. I was unable to audit and report on the usefulness and reliability of the performance information, as the municipality's annual performance report was not prepared, as required by section 46 of the Municipal Systems Act 32 of 2000 (MSA) and section 121(3)(c) of the MFMA.

Report on compliance with legislation

27. In accordance with the PAA and the general notice issued in terms thereof, I must audit and report on compliance with applicable legislation relating to financial matters, financial management and other related matters. The accounting officer is responsible for the municipality's compliance with legislation.

28. I performed procedures to test compliance with selected requirements in key legislation in accordance with the findings engagement methodology of the Auditor-General of South Africa (AGSA). This engagement is not an assurance engagement. Accordingly, I do not express an assurance opinion or conclusion.

29. Through an established AGSA process, I selected requirements in key legislation for compliance testing that are relevant to the financial and performance management of the municipality, clear to allow consistent measurement and evaluation, while also sufficiently detailed and readily available to report in an understandable manner. The selected legislative requirements are included in the annexure to this auditor's report.

30. The material findings on compliance with the selected legislative requirements, presented per compliance theme, are as follows:

Annual financial statements, performance reports and annual reports

31. The financial statements submitted for auditing were not prepared in all material respects in accordance with the requirements of section 122(1) of the MFMA. Material misstatements of disclosure items identified by the auditors in the submitted financial statements were subsequently corrected and the supporting records were provided subsequently, but the uncorrected material misstatements and supporting records that could not be provided resulted in the financial statements receiving a qualified audit opinion.
32. The annual financial statements were not submitted to the Auditor-General for auditing, within two months after the end of the financial year, as required by section 126(1)(a) of the MFMA.
33. The annual financial statements were not submitted to the Auditor-General within two months after the end of the financial year and council did not investigate the reasons for the failure to submit annual financial statements and take appropriate steps to ensure that the financial statements were submitted to the Auditor-General, as required by section 133(1)(c) of the MFMA.
34. The annual financial statements were not submitted to the Auditor-General within two months after the end of the financial year and a written explanation setting out the reasons for the failure was not tabled in council, as required by section 133(1)(a) of the MFMA.
35. The 2021/22 annual report was not tabled in the municipal council after the end of the financial year, as required by section 127(2) of the MFMA.

Procurement and contract management

36. Goods and services with a transaction value of below R200 000 were procured without obtaining the required price quotations, in contravention of by SCM Regulation 17(1)(a) and (c). Similar non-compliance was also reported in the prior year.
37. Sufficient appropriate audit evidence could not be obtained that written quotations were accepted from prospective providers who were on the list of accredited providers and met the listing requirements as prescribed by the SCM policy, in contravention of SCM Regulations 16(b) and 17(1)(b). Similar non-compliance was also reported in the prior year.
38. Quotations were accepted from bidders who did not submit a declaration on whether they are employed by the state or connected to any person employed by the state, as required by SCM Regulation 13(c). Similar non-compliance was also reported in the prior year.
39. Quotations were accepted from bidders whose tax matters had not been declared by the South African Revenue Service to be in order, in contravention of SCM regulation 43.
40. Sufficient appropriate audit evidence could not be obtained that contracts were awarded to bidders based on points given for legislative requirement that were stipulated in the original invitation for bidding, as required by SCM regulations 21(b) and 28(1)(a)(i) and Preferential Procurement Regulations.

41. Sufficient appropriate audit evidence could not be obtained that contracts were awarded through a competitive bidding process that were adjudicated by the bid adjudication committee as required by SCM Regulations 29(1) (a) and (b) and Preferential Procurement Regulations.
42. Sufficient appropriate audit evidence could not be obtained that the accounting officer ratified the decision of bid adjudication committee of awarding contracts to bidders other than those recommended by the bid evaluation committee as required by SCM Regulation 29(5)(b).
43. The preference point system was not applied for procurement of goods and services as required by section 2(1)(a) of the Preferential Procurement Policy Framework Act. Similar non-compliance was also reported in the prior year.
44. Sufficient appropriate audit evidence could not be obtained that contracts and quotations were awarded to suppliers based on preference points that were allocated and calculated in accordance with the requirements of section 2(1)(a) Preferential Procurement Policy Framework Act and its regulations.
45. Some of the contracts and quotations were awarded to bidders that did not score the highest points in the evaluation process, as required by section 2(1)(f) of Preferential Procurement Policy Framework Act and 2017 Preferential Procurement Regulations 11 and/or 2022 Preferential Procurement Regulation 4(4) and 5(4).
46. Sufficient appropriate audit evidence could not be obtained that contracts were extended or modified with the approval of a properly delegated official as required by SCM Regulation 5.
47. Sufficient appropriate audit evidence could not be obtained that the performance of contractors or providers was monitored on a monthly basis as required by section 116(2) of the MFMA. Similar limitation was also reported in the prior year.
48. Sufficient appropriate audit evidence could not be obtained that contract performance and monitoring measures were in place to ensure effective contract management as required by section 116(2)(c)(ii) of the MFMA. Similar limitation was also reported in the prior year.

Expenditure management

49. Money owed by the municipality was not always paid within 30 days, as required by section 65(2)(e) of the MFMA.
50. Reasonable steps were not taken to prevent irregular expenditure, as required by section 62(1)(d) of the MFMA. The full extent of the irregular expenditure could not be quantified as indicated in the basis for qualification paragraph. The majority of the disclosed irregular expenditure was caused by non-compliance with SCM requirements.
51. Reasonable steps were not taken to prevent fruitless and wasteful expenditure, as required by section 62(1)(d) of the MFMA. The full extent of the fruitless and wasteful expenditure could not be quantified as indicated in the basis for qualification paragraph. The majority of the disclosed fruitless and wasteful expenditure was caused by interest on payables by the municipality.
52. Reasonable steps were not taken to prevent unauthorised expenditure, as required by section 62(1)(d) of the MFMA. The full extent of the unauthorised expenditure could not be quantified]

as indicated in the basis for qualification paragraph. The majority of the disclosed unauthorised expenditure was caused by overspending on the municipality's approved budget.

Utilisation of conditional grants

53. Performance in respect of programmes funded by Municipal Infrastructure Grant (MIG), Water Services Infrastructure Grant (WSIG), Integrated National Electrification Program Grant, Local Government Financial Management Grant, Transformation and development of Library Grant and Expanded public works programme intergraded grant & Energy Efficiency were not evaluated within two months after the end of the financial year, as required by section 12(5) of the Division of Revenue Act (Act 5 of 2022).
54. I was unable to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence that the Local Government Financial Management Grant was spent for its intended purposes in accordance with the applicable grant framework, as required by section 16(1) of the Division of Revenue Act (Act 5 of 2022).

Consequence Management

55. Unauthorised expenditure incurred by the municipality was not investigated to determine if any person is liable for the expenditure, as required by section 32(2)(a) of the MFMA.
56. Irregular expenditure and fruitless and wasteful expenditure incurred by the municipality were not investigated to determine if any person is liable for the expenditure, as required by section 32(2)(b) of the MFMA.

Strategic planning and performance management

57. The SDBIP for the year under review was not developed and approved by the mayor, as required by section 53(1)(c)(ii) of the MFMA.
58. Performance targets were not set for each of the KPIs for the financial year, as required by section 41(1)(b) of the MSA and municipal planning and performance management regulation 12(1).
59. The review of the IDP was not done in accordance with the results of the performance evaluation and to the extent that changing circumstances demanded, as required by section 34(a) and 41(1)(c)(ii) of the MSA.
60. A mid-year performance assessment was not performed, as required by section 72(1)(a)(ii) of the MFMA.
61. A performance management system was not established, as required by section 38(a) of the MSA.
62. A performance management system was not adopted, as required by municipal planning and performance management regulation 8.

Human resource management

63. Appropriate systems and procedures to monitor, measure and evaluate performance of staff were not developed and adopted, as required by section 67(1)(d) of the MSA.
64. The municipal manager and senior managers did not sign performance agreements within the prescribed period, as required by section 57(2)(a) of the MSA.

Other information in the annual report

65. The accounting officer is responsible for the other information included in the annual report. The other information referred to does not include the financial statements, the auditor's report and those selected objectives presented in the annual performance report that have been specifically reported on in this auditor's report.
66. My opinion on the financial statements, the report on the audit of the annual performance report and the report on compliance with legislation do not cover the other information included in the annual report and I do not express an audit opinion or any form of assurance conclusion on it.
67. My responsibility is to read this other information and, in doing so, consider whether it is materially inconsistent with the financial statements and the selected objectives presented in the annual performance report or my knowledge obtained in the audit, or otherwise appears to be materially misstated.
68. I did not receive the other information prior to the date of this auditor's report. When I do receive and read this information, if I conclude that there is a material misstatement therein, I am required to communicate the matter to those charged with governance and request that the other information be corrected. If the other information is not corrected, I may have to retract this auditor's report and re-issue an amended report as appropriate. However, if it is corrected this will not be necessary.

Internal control deficiencies

69. I considered internal control relevant to my audit of the financial statements, annual performance report and compliance with applicable legislation; however, my objective was not to express any form of assurance on it.
70. The matters reported below are limited to the significant internal control deficiencies that resulted in the basis for the qualified opinion, the material findings on the annual performance report and the material findings on compliance with legislation included in this report.
71. The leadership did not exercise adequate oversight responsibility regarding financial and compliance as well as related internal controls. The municipality did not have sufficient monitoring controls to ensure the proper implementation of the overall process of planning, budgeting, implementation and reporting. This pertains to the overall performance

management systems and processes, annual financial statements and compliance with laws and regulations.

72. An annual performance report was not submitted for audit due to the leadership's failure to implement a performance management system that are effective, efficient, and transparent in terms of performance information, risk management, and internal control. The absence of such a system raises serious concerns about the municipality's ability to effectively monitor its performance, manage risks, and maintain internal control. This impact the quality of services provided to the public and the overall governance of the municipality. It is imperative that this issue be addressed promptly to ensure accountability, transparency, and good governance.
73. The leadership of the municipality did not take adequate responsibility for establishing and communicating policies and procedures to enable and support the understanding and execution of internal control objectives, processes and responsibilities in respect of consequence management.
74. The leadership of the municipality did not adequately develop and monitor the implementation of action plans to address internal control deficiencies. The municipality developed a plan to address internal and external audit findings, but the appropriate level of management did not implement and monitor adherence to the plan in a timely manner. This is due to the audit action plan not being compiled timeously in order to address audit findings. This has resulted in repeat findings being identified in the current year audit.
75. The municipality did not implement sufficient proper record keeping in a timely manner to ensure that complete, relevant and accurate information is accessible and available to support financial and performance reporting.
76. The municipality did not adequately prepare regular, accurate and complete financial reports that are supported and evidenced by reliable information. The financial statements contained numerous misstatements.
77. The municipality did not review and monitor compliance with applicable legislation. Furthermore, the municipality does not have adequate processes in place to identify irregular expenditure.
78. The municipality did not implement appropriate risk management activities to ensure that regular risk assessments, including the consideration of information technology risks and fraud prevention, are conducted and that a risk strategy to address the risks is developed and monitored.

Material irregularities

79. In accordance with the PAA and the Material Irregularity Regulations, I have a responsibility to report on material irregularities identified during the audit.

Material irregularities in progress

80. I identified a material irregularity during the audit and notified the accounting officer, as required by material irregularity regulation 3(2). By the date of this auditor's report, the response of the accounting officer was not yet due. This material irregularity will be included in next year's auditor's report.

Other reports

81. I draw attention to the following engagements conducted by various parties. These reports did not form part of my opinion on the financial statements or my findings on the reported performance information or compliance with legislation.

82. The Directorate for Priority Crime Investigation (Hawks) are investigating allegations of irregularities relating to supply chain management processes at the municipality. These proceedings were in progress at the date of this report.

Auditor General

Kimberley

29 March 2024



**AUDITOR - GENERAL
SOUTH AFRICA**

Auditing to build public confidence

Annexure to the auditor's report

The annexure includes the following:

- The auditor-general's responsibility for the audit
- The selected legislative requirements for compliance testing

Auditor-general's responsibility for the audit

Professional judgement and professional scepticism

As part of an audit in accordance with the ISAs, I exercise professional judgement and maintain professional scepticism throughout my audit of the financial statements and the procedures performed on reported performance information for selected objectives and on the municipality's compliance with selected requirements in key legislation.

Financial statements

In addition to my responsibility for the audit of the financial statements as described in this auditor's report, I also:

- identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error; design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks; and obtain audit evidence that is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for my opinion. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations or the override of internal control.
- obtain an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the municipality's internal control.
- evaluate the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of accounting estimates and related disclosures made.
- conclude on the appropriateness of the use of the going concern basis of accounting in the preparation of the financial statements. I also conclude, based on the audit evidence obtained, whether a material uncertainty exists relating to events or conditions that may cast significant doubt on the ability of the municipality to continue as a going concern. If I conclude that a material uncertainty exists, I am required to draw attention in my auditor's report to the related disclosures in the financial statements about the material uncertainty or, if such disclosures are inadequate, to modify my opinion on the financial statements. My conclusions are based on the information available to me at the date of this auditor's report. However, future events or conditions may cause a municipality to cease operating as a going concern.
- evaluate the overall presentation, structure and content of the financial statements, including the disclosures, and determine whether the financial statements represent the underlying transactions and events in a manner that achieves fair presentation.

Communication with those charged with governance

I communicate with the accounting officer regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit and significant audit findings, including any significant deficiencies in internal control that I identify during my audit.

I also provide the accounting officer with a statement that I have complied with relevant ethical requirements regarding independence and communicate with them all relationships and other matters that may reasonably be thought to bear on my independence and, where applicable, actions taken to eliminate threats or safeguards applied.

Compliance with legislation – selected legislative requirements

The selected legislative requirements are as follows:

Legislation	Sections or regulations
Municipal Finance Management Act 56 of 2003	Section 1 - Paragraph (a), (b) & (d) of the definition: irregular expenditure, Section 1 - Definition: service delivery and budget implementation plan, Sections 11(1), 13(2), 14(1), 14(2)(a), 14(2)(b), 15, 24(2)(c)(iv), 29(1), Sections 29(2)(b), 32(2), 32(2)(a), 32(2)(a)(i), 32(2)(a)(ii), 32(2)(b), Sections 32(6)(a), 32(7), 53(1)(c)(ii), 54(1)(c), 62(1)(d), 62(1)(f)(i), Sections 62(1)(f)(ii), 62(1)(f)(iii), 63(1)(a), 63(2)(a), 63(2)(c), 64(2)(b), Sections 64(2)(c), 64(2)(e), 64(2)(f), 64(2)(g), 65(2)(a), 65(2)(b), 65(2)(e), Sections 72(1)(a)(ii), 112(1)(j), 116(2)(b), 116(2)(c)(ii), 117, 122(1), Sections 122(2), 126(1)(a), 126(1)(b), 127(2), 127(5)(a)(i), 127(5)(a)(ii), Sections 129(1), 129(3), 133(1)(a), 133(1)(c)(i), 133(1)(c)(ii), 170, Sections 171(4)(a), 171(4)(b)
MFMA: Municipal Budget and Reporting Regulations, 2009	Regulations 71(1), 71(2), 72
MFMA: Municipal Investment Regulations, 2005	Regulations 3(1)(a), 3(3), 6, 7, 12(2), 12(3)
MFMA: Municipal Regulations on Financial Misconduct Procedures and Criminal Proceedings, 2014	Regulations 5(4), 6(8)(a), 6(8)(b), 10(1)
MFMA: Municipal Supply Chain Management Regulations, 2017	Regulations 5, 12(1)(c), 12(3), 13(b), 13(c), 13(c)(i), 16(a), 17(1)(a), Regulations 17(1)(b), 17(1)(c), 19(a), 21(b), 22(1)(b)(i), 22(2), 27(2)(a), Regulations 27(2)(e), 28(1)(a)(i), 28(1)(a)(ii), 29(1)(a), 29(1)(b), Regulations 29(5)(a)(ii), 29(5)(b)(ii), 32, 36(1), 36(1)(a), 38(1)(c), Regulations 38(1)(d)(ii), 38(1)(e), 38(1)(g)(i), 38(1)(g)(ii), 38(1)(g)(iii), 43, Regulations 44, 46(2)(e), 46(2)(f)
Municipal Systems Act 32 of 2000	Sections 25(1), 26(a), 26(c), 26(h), 26(i), 29(1)(b)(ii), 29(3)(b), 34(a), 34(b), Sections 38(a), 41(1)(a), 41(1)(b), 41(1)(c)(ii), 42, 43(2), 56(a), 57(2)(a), Sections 57(4B), 57(6)(a), 66(1)(a), 66(1)(b), 67(1)(d), 74(1), 93J(1), 96(b) Parent municipality with ME: Sections 93B(a), 93B(b)

Legislation	Sections or regulations
	Parent municipality with shared control of ME: Sections 93C(a)(iv), 93C(a)(v)
MSA: Municipal Planning and performance Management Regulations, 2001	Regulations 2(1)(e), 2(3)(a), 3(3), 3(4)(b), 3(6)(a), 7(1), 8, 9(1)(a), 10(a), Regulations 12(1), 15(1)(a)(i), 15(1)(a)(ii)
MSA: Municipal Performance Regulations for Municipal Managers and Managers directly Accountable to Municipal Managers, 2006	Regulations 2(3)(a), 4(4)(b), 8(1), 8(2), 8(3)
MSA: Regulations on Appointment and Conditions of Employment of Senior Managers, 2014	Regulations 17(2), 36(1)(a)
MSA: Disciplinary Regulations for Senior Managers, 2011	Regulations 5(2), 5(3), 5(6), 8(4)
Annual Division of Revenue Act	Section 11(6)(b), 12(5), 16(1); 16(3)
Construction Industry Development Board Act 38 of 2000	Section 18(1)
Construction Industry Development Board Regulations	Regulations 17, 25(7A)
Municipal Property Rates Act 6 of 2004	Section 3(1)
Preferential Procurement Policy Framework Act 5 of 2000	Sections 2(1)(a), 2(1)(f)
Preferential Procurement Regulations, 2017	Regulations 4(1), 4(2), 5(1), 5(3), 5(6), 5(7), 6(1), 6(2), 6(3), 6(6), 6(8), Regulations 7(1), 7(2), 7(3), 7(6), 7(8), 8(2), 8(5) 9(1), 10(1), 10(2), Regulations 11(1), 11(2)
Preferential Procurement Regulations, 2022	Regulations 4(1), 4(2), 4(3), 4(4), 5(1), 5(2), 5(3), 5(4)
Prevention and Combating of Corrupt Activities Act 12 of 2004	Section 34(1)