Report of the auditor-general to the Free State Provincial Legislature and the council on the Masilonyana Local Municipality

Report on the audit of the financial statements

Disclaimer of opinion

1. I was engaged to audit the financial statements of the Masilonyana Local Municipality, set out on pages xx to xx, which comprise the statement of financial position as at 30 June 2023, the statement of financial performance, statement of changes in net assets and statement of comparison of budget and actual amounts for the year ended, as well as notes to the financial statements, including a summary of significant accounting policies.

2. I do not express an opinion on the financial statements of the municipality. Because of the significance of the matters described in the basis for disclaimer of opinion section of this auditor's report, I was unable to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence to provide a basis for an audit opinion on these financial statements.

Basis for disclaimer of opinion

Financial statements

3. I was unable to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence regarding the financial statements as a whole, except for employee related costs and government grants and subsidies, as the financial statements were presented for audit purposes without accurate and complete underlying accounting records. I was unable to audit the rest of the financial statements by alternative means. Consequently, I was unable to determine whether any adjustments were necessary to the financial statements, except for employee related costs and government grants and subsidies.

Employee related costs

4. The municipality did not recognise employee related costs in accordance with GRAP 1, *Presentation of Financial Statements* as employee related expenses were not calculated according to the municipality's approved policies and procedures and the approved salary and wage collective agreement. In addition, the municipality incorrectly included councillors' remuneration with employee related costs and some employees could also not be verified. Consequently, this resulted in the overstatement of employee related costs of R32 972 631 as stated in note 25 to the financial statements, and cash and cash equivalents understated by the same amount. Additionally, there was an impact on the deficit for the year and accumulated surplus.

Government grants and subsidies

5. The municipality did not recognise government grants and subsidies in accordance with GRAP 23, *Revenue from non-exchange transactions* due to the differences identified between the amounts recorded in the financial statements and the amounts allocated in the Division of

Revenue Act 5 of 2022 (Dora). Consequently, government grants and subsidies were understated by R122 226 183, and consumer debtors and receivables from non-exchange transactions were also understated by the same amount. Additionally, there was an impact on the deficit for the year and accumulated surplus.

6. In addition, I was unable to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence for government grants and subsidies, due to the status of the accounting records. I was unable to confirm the government grants and subsidies by alternative means. Consequently, I was unable to determine whether any further adjustments were necessary to government grants and subsidies stated at R42 541 000 in note 24 to the financial statements.

Other matter

7. I draw attention to the matter below. My opinion is not modified in respect of this matter.

Unaudited disclosure notes

8. In terms of section 125(2)(e) of the MFMA, the particulars of non-compliance with the MFMA should be disclosed in the financial statements. This disclosure requirement did not form part of the audit of the financial statements and, accordingly, I do not express an opinion on it.

Responsibilities of the accounting officer for the financial statements

9. The accounting officer is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in accordance with the Standards of Generally Recognised Accounting Practice (GRAP) and the requirements of the Municipal Finance Management Act 56 of 2003 (MFMA) and the Dora, for such internal control as the accounting officer determines is necessary to enable the preparation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

10. In preparing the financial statements, the accounting officer is responsible for assessing the municipality's ability to continue as a going concern, disclosing, as applicable, matters relating to going concern and using the going concern basis of accounting unless the appropriate governance structure either intends to liquidate the municipality or to cease operations, or has no realistic alternative but to do so.

Responsibilities of the auditor-general for the audit of the financial statements

11. My responsibility is to conduct an audit of the financial statements in accordance with the International Standards on Auditing and to issue an auditor's report. However, because of the matters described in the basis for disclaimer of opinion section of this auditor's report, I was unable to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence to provide a basis for an audit opinion on these financial statements.

12. I am independent of the municipality in accordance with the International Ethics Standards Board for Accountants' International code of ethics for professional accountants (including International Independence Standards) (IESBA code), as well as the other ethical requirements that are relevant to my audit in South Africa. I have fulfilled my other ethical responsibilities in accordance with these requirements and the IESBA code.

Report on the audit of the annual performance report

13. I could not perform the audit as the annual performance report was not prepared as required by section 46 of the Municipal System Act 32 of 2000 and section 121(3)(c) of the MFMA.

Report on compliance with legislation

14. In accordance with the PAA and the general notice issued in terms thereof, I must audit and report on compliance with applicable legislation relating to financial matters, financial management and other related matters. The accounting officer is responsible for the municipalities' compliance with legislation.

15. I performed procedures to test compliance with selected requirements in key legislation in accordance with the findings engagement methodology of the Auditor-General of South Africa (AGSA). This engagement is not an assurance engagement. Accordingly, I do not express an assurance opinion or conclusion.

16. Through an established AGSA process, I selected requirements in key legislation for compliance testing that are relevant to the financial and performance management of the municipality, clear to allow consistent measurement and evaluation, while also sufficiently detailed and readily available to report in an understandable manner. The selected legislative requirements are included in the annexure to this auditor's report.

17. The material findings on compliance with the selected legislative requirements, presented per compliance theme, are as follows:

Annual financial statements

18. The financial statements submitted for auditing were not prepared in all material respects in accordance with the requirements of section 122(1) of the MFMA. Material misstatements identified by the auditors in the submitted financial statements were not adequately corrected and the supporting documentation could not be provided which subsequently resulted in the financial statements receiving a disclaimer audit opinion.

Expenditure management

19. I was unable to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence that payments and withdrawals from the municipality's bank accounts were approved by the accounting officer or a properly authorised official, as required by section 11(1) of the MFMA.

20. Reasonable steps were not taken to ensure that the municipality implements and maintains an effective system of expenditure control, including procedures for the approval, authorisation, withdrawal and payment of funds, as required by section 65(2)(a) of the MFMA.

21. An adequate management, accounting and information system was not in place which recognised expenditure when it was incurred, accounted for creditors and accounted for payments made, as required by section 65(2)(b) of the MFMA.

22. I was unable to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence that money owed by the municipality had always been paid within 30 days, as required by section 65(2)(e) of the MFMA.

Revenue management

23. An adequate management, accounting and information system which accounts for revenue, debtors, receipt of revenue was not in place, as required by section 64(2)(e) of the MFMA.

24. An effective system of internal control for debtors and revenue was not in place, as required by section 64(2)(f) of the MFMA.

25. I was unable to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence that interest had been charged on all accounts in arrears, as required by section 64(2)(g) of the MFMA.

Asset management

26. An adequate management, accounting and information system which accounts for assets was not in place, as required by section 63(2)(a) of the MFMA.

27. An effective system of internal control for assets (including an asset register) was not in place, as required by section 63(2)(c) of the MFMA.

Consequence management

28. Unauthorised expenditure incurred by the municipality was not investigated to determine if any person is liable for the expenditure, as required by section 32(2)(a) of the MFMA.

29. Irregular expenditure incurred by the municipality were not investigated to determine if any person is liable for the expenditure, as required by section 32(2)(b) of the MFMA.

30. Fruitless and wasteful expenditure incurred by the municipality was not investigated to determine if any person is liable for the expenditure, as required by section 32(2)(b) of the MFMA.

Conditional grants

31. I was unable to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence that the municipal infrastructure grant was spent for its intended purposes in accordance with the applicable grant framework, as required by section 16(1) of the Dora.

32. Performance in respect of programmes funded by the municipal infrastructure grant was not evaluated within two months after the end of the financial year, as required by section 12(5) of the Dora.

33. I was unable to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence that the regional bulk infrastructure grant was spent for its intended purposes in accordance with the applicable grant framework, as required by section 16(1) of the Dora.

34. Performance in respect of programmes funded by the regional bulk infrastructure grant was not evaluated within two months after the end of the financial year, as required by section 12(5) of the Dora.

35. I was unable to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence that the water services infrastructure grant was spent for its intended purposes in accordance with the applicable grant framework, as required by section 16(1) of the Dora.

36. Performance in respect of programmes funded by the water services infrastructure grant was not evaluated within two months after the end of the financial year, as required by section 12(5) of the Dora.

37. Performance in respect of programmes funded by the integrated national electrification programme was not evaluated within two months after the end of the financial year, as required by section 12(5) of the Dora.

Procurement and contract management

38. Some of the goods and services with a transaction value of below R200 000 were procured without obtaining the required price quotations, in contravention of supply chain management (SCM) regulation 17(1)(a) and (c).

39. Some of the written quotations were accepted from prospective providers who were not on the list of accredited prospective providers and did not meet the listing requirements prescribed by the SCM policy, in contravention of SCM regulations 16(b) and 17(1)(b).

40. Quotations were accepted from bidders who did not submit a declaration on whether they are employed by the state or connected to any person employed by the state, as required by SCM regulation 13(c).

41. Sufficient appropriate audit evidence could not be obtained that contracts were only awarded to providers whose tax matters had been declared by the South African Revenue Service to be in order, as required by SCM regulation 43.

42. Sufficient appropriate audit evidence could not be obtained that the preference point system was applied for some procurement of goods and services above R30 000 as required by section 2(1)(a) of the Preferential Procurement Policy Framework Act 5 of 2000.

43. Some of the invitations to tender for procurement of commodities designated for local content and production, did not stipulate the minimum threshold for local production and content as required by the 2017 preferential procurement regulation 8(2). This non-compliance was identified in the procurement processes for the following key projects:

    - Supply, deliver, installation and commissioning of 2 high mast lights in Verkeerdevlei and
    - Supply, deliver, installation and commissioning of 4 high mast lights in Winburg/Makeleketla.

44. Sufficient appropriate audit evidence could not be obtained that the performance of contractors or providers in some instances, was monitored on a monthly basis as required by section

116(2) of the MFMA. This limitation was identified in the procurement processes for the following key projects:

- Cable, water networks, municipal buildings and infrastructure monitoring system
- Construction of an outfall sewer and rectification of existing network in Winburg/ Makeleketla
- Construction of sports centre in the Winnie Mandela museum - phase 3 in Brandfort/Majwemasweu
- Refurbishment of sewer house connections for 220 units in Mountain view, Brandfort/Majwemasweu and
- Refurbish the wastewater treatment works and sewer pump station in Theunissen

45. Sufficient appropriate audit evidence could not be obtained that contract performance and monitoring measures were in place to ensure effective contract management as required by section 116(2)(c)(ii) of the MFMA. This limitation was identified in the procurement processes for the following key projects:

- Cable, water networks, municipal buildings and infrastructure monitoring system
- Construction of an outfall sewer and rectification of existing network in Winburg/Makeleketla
- Construction of sports centre in the Winnie Mandela museum - phase 3 in Brandfort/ Majwemasweu
- Refurbishment of sewer house connections for 220 units in Mountain view, Brandfort/ Majwemasweu and
- Refurbish the existing wastewater treatment works and sewer pump station in Theunissen

46. Awards were made to some providers who were in the service of other state were in the service of other state institutions, in contravention of MFMA 112(1)(j) and SCM regulation 44.

Internal control deficiencies

47. I considered internal control relevant to my audit of the financial statements, annual performance report and compliance with applicable legislation; however, my objective was not to express any form of assurance on it.

48. The matters reported below are limited to the significant internal control deficiencies that resulted in the basis for the disclaimer of opinion, the findings on the annual performance report and the findings on compliance with legislation included in this report.

49. The municipality's leadership did not adequately exercise oversight responsibilities regarding financial and performance reporting and compliance monitoring and related internal controls, due to the instability in the senior management positions.

50. Key management positions were vacant during the year under review. Critical vacancies were not filled, and as a result, daily internal controls over the processing of financial transactions are not functioning effectively. The key vacancies contributed to the weak control environment and the lack of reliable supporting documents. As a result, a disclaimer of opinion was

reported on the financial statements and no annual performance report was submitted for auditing.

51. Service delivery was not verified which is evident from the lack of proper record-keeping at the entity. Reasonable steps were not taken to ensure that full and proper records were kept for performance information as well as for procurement and contract management.

52. Management did not review and monitor compliance with applicable legislation, which resulted in a high number of contraventions of legislation being reported. This was mainly due to a lack of supporting evidence being provided to confirm the municipality's compliance with legislation.

53. Adequate consequence management was not implemented due to a lack of investigations on fruitless and wasteful and irregular expenditure. In the absence of investigations, this had created a culture of transgressions. Accountability is not emphasised by leadership, resulting in employees not being held accountable for failure to deliver on their responsibilities to the municipality.

Material irregularities

54. In accordance with the PAA and the Material Irregularity Regulations, I have a responsibility to report on material irregularities identified during the audit and on the status of material irregularities as previously reported in the auditor's report.

Status of previously reported material irregularities

Full and proper records not kept (2017-18) – Infrastructure assets, services charges, conditional grants and bulk purchases

55. In my 2018-19 auditor's report, I reported that the municipality did not take reasonable steps in the 2017-18 financial year to ensure that full and proper records were kept of infrastructure assets, service charges, conditional grants and bulk purchases, as required by section 62(1)(b) of the MFMA. The non-compliance contributed to a disclaimed audit opinion, as I could not obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence to support the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements.

56. The lack of full and proper records is likely to result in substantial harm to the municipality, as it contributed to the material uncertainty regarding its ability to continue operations, as disclosed in note 45 to the 2017-18 financial statements. This, in turn, is likely to have a negative impact on the municipality's ability to discharge its service delivery mandate.

57. The accounting officer was notified of the material irregularity on 11 June 2021. The accounting officer could not provide sufficient and appropriate evidence of the actions that have been taken in response to being notified of the material irregularity, as the planned actions to address the poor record-keeping and financial problems were not adequate.

58. I recommended that the accounting officer should take the following actions to address the material irregularity, which should be implemented by 13 April 2022:

- The reasons and circumstances behind the non-compliance with section 62(1)(b) of the MFMA should be investigated for the purpose of taking appropriate corrective actions and improving controls to eliminate weaknesses.

- Based on the reasons and circumstances identified, appropriate action should be taken to develop and begin implementing an action plan to address poor record-keeping so that full and proper records of the municipality's financial affairs are kept in accordance with any prescribed norms and standards, as required by section 62(1)(b) of the MFMA. The plan should include anticipated timeframes, and should address the following key areas as a minimum:

  (a) Complete asset register of all of the municipality's infrastructure assets, including work-in-progress.

  (b) Billing information and reconciliations to support revenue from service charges.

  (c) Register and payment vouchers to support payments made from conditional grant funding, including reconciliations.

  (d) Payment vouchers, creditor statements and creditor reconciliations for bulk purchases.

59. I further recommended that the accounting officer should take appropriate action to develop and begin implementing an action plan to address the municipality's financial problems, as required by section 135(1) and 135(3)(a) of the MFMA, by 13 July 2022. The plan should describe the anticipated timeframe and milestones to be achieved, and should include as a minimum strategy:

    (a) increasing the collection of revenue.

    (b) optimising costs for bulk purchases.

    (c) efficiently managing the municipality's available cash.

    (d) entering into payment arrangements with major suppliers.

    (e) repairing and maintaining infrastructure assets.

60. The accounting officer has not adequately implemented the above recommendations. I notified the accounting officer on 7 August 2023 of the following remedial actions to address the material irregularity, which must be implemented by 7 March 2024 with a progress report after three months:

    - The non-compliance with section 62(1)(b) of the MFMA must be investigated to determine the reasons and circumstances that led to the non-compliance for purpose of taking appropriate corrective actions and to address control weaknesses.

    - Based on the reasons and circumstances identified, appropriate action must be taken to continue with the development and implementation of an action plan to address poor record keeping so that full and proper records of the financial affairs of the municipality are kept in accordance with any prescribed norms and standards, as required by section 62(1)(b) of the MFMA. The plan must include anticipated timeframes and address the following key areas as a minimum:

(a) Complete asset register of all of the municipality's infrastructure assets, including work-in-progress.

(b) Billing information and reconciliations to support revenue from service charges.

(c) Register and payment vouchers to support payments made from conditional grant funding, including reconciliations; and

(d) Payment vouchers, creditor statements and creditor reconciliations for bulk purchases.

- I further recommended that the accounting officer must take appropriate action to continue with the development and implementation of an action plan to address the financial problems of the municipality, as required by section 135(1) and 135(3)(a) of the MFMA. The plan must describe the anticipated timeframe and milestones to be achieved and include as a minimum a strategy to:

(a) Increase the collection of revenue.

(b) Optimise costs in respect of bulk purchases.

(c) Efficiently manage the available resources of the municipality.

(d) Enter into payment arrangements with major suppliers; and

(e) Repair and maintain infrastructure assets.

Pollution of water resource not prevented – Winburg Wastewater Treatment Works

61. The Winburg Wastewater Treatment Works has not operated effectively due to mechanical and operational equipment either malfunctioning or not operational. This has resulted in continued spilling and discharge of raw/untreated sewerage into the adjacent environment, including the groundwater, Laaispruit river and its extended watercourse. The municipality did not take reasonable measures to prevent pollution or degradation from occurring, continuing or recurring, as required by section 28(1) of the National Environmental Management Act 107 of 1998 and section 19(1) of the National Water Act 36 of 1998. The discharge of raw/untreated sewage into the environment is likely to cause substantial harm to the communities exposed to, and dependent on, the contaminated water resources.

62. The accounting officer was notified of this material irregularity on 28 April 2022 and was invited to make a written submission on the actions taken and that will be taken to address the matter. The accounting officer did not take appropriate action to resolve the material irregularity. I am in the process determining the most suitable action to take.

Other reports

63. In addition to the investigations relating to material irregularities, I draw attention to the following engagements conducted by various parties. These reports did not form part of my

opinion on the financial statements or my findings on the reported performance information or compliance with legislation.

64. The municipal council resolved on 30 June 2022 that a financial disciplinary board perform an investigation into allegations of procurement irregularities for the processes followed for the loan agreement entered into by the municipality. As of 24 August 2023, the disciplinary board had completed its investigation and recommendations were submitted to the council for adoption. At the date of our audit report, the recommendations provided were still under consideration by the municipal council.

65. The Special Investigating Unit (SIU) of the South African Police Service (SAPS) was investigating allegations of alleged abuse of the municipal supply chain processes by municipal officials, unauthorised deviations, and the disposal of municipal sites without adequate processes and approvals, which covered the period since 21 October 2021. In addition, the Hawks was also investigating procurement irregularities at the municipality. These proceedings were still in progress at the date of this auditor's report.

Auditor - General

Bloemfontein

30 November 2023

AUDITOR-GENERAL
SOUTH AFRICA

Auditing to build public confidence

Annexure to the auditor's report

1. The annexure includes the following:
   - The auditor-general's responsibility for the audit
   - The selected legislative requirements for compliance testing

Auditor-general's responsibility for the audit

Professional judgement and professional scepticism

2. As part of an audit in accordance with the ISAs, I exercise professional judgement and maintain professional scepticism throughout my audit of the financial statements and the procedures performed on reported performance information for selected KPAs and on the municipality's compliance with selected requirements in key legislation

Financial statements

3. In addition to my responsibility for the audit of the financial statements as described in this auditor's report, I also:
   - identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error; design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks; and obtain audit evidence that is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for my opinion. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations or the override of internal control
   - obtain an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the municipality's internal control
   - evaluate the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of accounting estimates and related disclosures made
   - conclude on the appropriateness of the use of the going concern basis of accounting in the preparation of the financial statements. I also conclude, based on the audit evidence obtained, whether a material uncertainty exists relating to events or conditions that may cast significant doubt on the ability of the municipality to continue as a going concern. If I conclude that a material uncertainty exists, I am required to draw attention in my auditor's report to the related disclosures in the financial statements about the material uncertainty or, if such disclosures are inadequate, to modify my opinion on the financial statements. My conclusions are based on the information available to me at the date of this auditor's report. However, future events or conditions may cause a municipality to cease operating as a going concern
   - evaluate the overall presentation, structure and content of the financial statements, including the disclosures, and determine whether the financial statements represent the underlying transactions and events in a manner that achieves fair presentation.

Communication with those charged with governance

4. I communicate with the accounting officer regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit and significant audit findings, including any significant deficiencies in internal control that I identify during my audit.

5. I also provide the accounting officer with a statement that I have complied with relevant ethical requirements regarding independence and communicate with them all relationships and other matters that may reasonably be thought to bear on my independence and, where applicable, actions taken to eliminate threats or safeguards applied.

Compliance with legislation – selected legislative requirements

6. The selected legislative requirements are as follows:

Legislations	Sections or regulations
Municipal Finance Management Act 56 of 2003	Section 1 - Paragraph (a), (b) & (d) of the definition: irregular expenditure, Section 1 - Definition: service delivery and budget implementation plan, Sections 11(1), 13(2), 14(1), 14(2)(a), 14(2)(b), 15, 24(2)(c)(iv), 29(1), Sections 29(2)(b), 32(2), 32(2)(a), 32(2)(a)(i), 32(2)(a)(ii), 32(2)(b), Sections 32(6)(a), 32(7), 53(1)(c)(ii), 54(1)(c), 62(1)(d), 62(1)(f)(i), Sections 62(1)(f)(ii), 62(1)(f)(iii), 63(1)(a), 63(2)(a), 63(2)(c), 64(2)(b), Sections 64(2)(c), 64(2)(e), 64(2)(f), 64(2)(g), 65(2)(a), 65(2)(b), 65(2)(e), Sections 72(1)(a)(ii), 112(1)(j), 116(2)(b), 116(2)(c)(ii), 117, 122(1), Sections 122(2), 126(1)(a), 126(1)(b), 127(2), 127(5)(a)(i), 127(5)(a)(ii), Sections 129(1), 129(3), 133(1)(a), 133(1)(c)(i), 133(1)(c)(ii), 170, Sections 171(4)(a), 171(4)(b)
MFMA: Municipal Budget and Reporting Regulations, 2009	Regulations 71(1), 71(2), 72
MFMA: Municipal Investment Regulations, 2005	Regulations 3(1)(a), 3(3), 6, 7, 12(2), 12(3)
MFMA: Municipal Regulations on Financial Misconduct Procedures and Criminal Proceedings, 2014	Regulations 5(4), 6(8)(a), 6(8)(b), 10(1)
MFMA: Municipal Supply Chain Management Regulations, 2017	Regulations 5, 12(1)(c), 12(3), 13(b), 13(c), 13(c)(i), 16(a), 17(1)(a) Regulations 17(1)(b), 17(1)(c), 19(a), 21(b), 22(1)(b)(i), 22(2), 27(2)(a) Regulations 27(2)(e), 28(1)(a)(i), 28(1)(a)(ii), 29(1)(a), 29(1)(b) Regulations 29(5)(a)(ii), 29(5)(b)(ii), 32, 36(1), 36(1)(a), 38(1)(c) Regulations 38(1)(d)(ii), 38(1)(e), 38(1)(g)(i), 38(1)(g)(ii), 38(1)(g)(iii), 43 Regulations 44, 46(2)(e), 46(2)(f)
Municipal Systems Act 32 of 2000	Sections 25(1), 26(a), 26(c), 26(h), 26(i), 29(1)(b)(ii), 29(3)(b), 34(a), 34(b) Sections 38(a), 41(1)(a), 41(1)(b), 41(1)(c)(ii), 42, 43(2), 56(a), 57(2)(a) Sections 57(4B), 57(6)(a), 66(1)(a), 66(1)(b), 67(1)(d), 74(1), 93J(1), 96(b)
MSA: Municipal Planning and performance Management Regulations, 2001	Regulations 2(1)(e), 2(3)(a), 3(3), 3(4)(b), 3(6)(a), 7(1), 8, 9(1)(a), 10(a), Regulations 12(1), 15(1)(a)(i), 15(1)(a)(ii)
MSA: Municipal Performance Regulations for Municipal Managers and Managers directly Accountable to Municipal Managers, 2006	Regulations 2(3)(a), 4(4)(b), 8(1), 8(2), 8(3)
MSA: Regulations on Appointment and Conditions of Employment of Senior Managers, 2014	Regulations 17(2), 36(1)(a)
MSA: Disciplinary Regulations for Senior Managers, 2011	Regulations 5(2), 5(3), 5(6), 8(4)
Annual Division of Revenue Act	Section 11(6)(b), 12(5), 16(1); 16(3)
Construction Industry Development Board Act 38 of 2000	Section 18(1)
Construction Industry Development Board Regulations	Regulations 17, 25(7A)
Municipal Property Rates Act 6 of 2004	Section 3(1)
Preferential Procurement Policy Framework Act 5 of 2000	Sections 2(1)(a), 2(1)(f)
Preferential Procurement Regulations, 2017	Regulations 4(1), 4(2), 5(1), 5(3), 5(6), 5(7), 6(1), 6(2), 6(3), 6(6), 6(8) Regulations 7(1), 7(2), 7(3), 7(6), 7(8), 8(2), 8(5) 9(1), 10(1), 10(2) Regulations 11(1), 11(2)
Preferential Procurement Regulations, 2022	Regulations 4(1), 4(2), 4(3), 4(4), 5(1), 5(2), 5(3), 5(4)
Prevention and Combating of Corrupt Activities Act 12 of 2004	Section 34(1)